



218577

206 East Bayfront Parkway, Suite 201
Erie, PA 16507
Phone: 814-455-7557
Fax: 814-455-8070
www.porerie.org

February 5, 2003

DONALD K. INDERLIED
ChairmanEDWARD M. MEAD
Vice-ChairmanEDWARD P. JUNKER, III
TreasurerMATTHEW J. GRESS
Secretary

BOARD MEMBERS

Dr. William B. Carvey

Guy N. Harron

Sharon L. Knoll

Michael J. Kochler, Esq.

Bruce H. Rainy

Robert R. Spaulding

James R. Wileczek, Esq.

R. P. Schreckengost, Jr. E.
Executive DirectorRebecca Martin
Marketing, Communications,
& Government RelationsDouglas C. Pomanski
Director of Operations
HarbormasterMary E. Simmons
Chief Financial OfficerThomas M. Muggen
General Administrator

Rear Admiral Paul J. Pluta
Assistant Commandant for Marine Safety,
Security and Environmental Protection
United States Coast Guard
2100 Second Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20593

RE: Docket No. USCG-2002-11288 -27

Dear Admiral Pluta:

On January 23, 2003, the United States Coast Guard published a proposed rulemaking to significantly increase rates for pilotage services on the Great Lakes. The Coast Guard proposes to increase rates to varying degrees in each area of the Great Lakes with an average overall increase in excess of 25 percent.

Pilotage fees are the second largest operating expense for ocean going vessels providing service to U.S. and Canadian Great Lakes Ports. This increase will have a significant impact on the cost of transporting cargo on the Great Lakes.

While the Coast Guard has requested public comment and provided a 45-day period for the public to review the decision, study supporting documentation and offer input, your agency has also announced its intention to implement this rate increase in an "interim ruling" on February 14, 2003. This is well before the end of the comment period. The Coast Guard indicates that if public comments are compelling, it will readjust the rate increase at a later date.

I am writing to oppose an Interim ruling prior to the end of the public comment period.

We have a strong interest in the potential negative impact of this proposal on our business. The Coast Guard's plan to implement the rate increase in a so-called "interim" fashion violates our right to have our views fairly considered **before** damage is done. Commodity purchases and shipping decisions will be made based on the Coast Guard's interim decision. Your agency's offer to readjust rate at a late date is hollow in that such a move will not restore lost business.

Sincerely,


R. P. Schreckengost
Executive Director

RPS:sms

cc: Honorable Phil English, U.S. Congressman

DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION
DOCKETS
2003 FEB - 6
A11017